

9.9.14

Hello T,

I make no apologies for the nature of this contact, nor do I make any explanations other than as follows: -

I refer to your recent comment posted on Louise Allan - Writer f/book page. At the outset, I remind you, it is none of your business to publicly opine on matters as they relate to me, about which you have no knowledge; you clearly hold a belief — that is not knowledge. I confirm you know very little. You have no knowledge of my rejoinder. It appears you know little; you believe too much out of context.

Uninformed opinions = dangerous stuff when published. The context in which you have published — "Louise, firstly I'm so very sorry that by her behaviour your mother took your father from you. That you took her on again after all she'd done to you..." — is at best inaccurate, insulting and offensive, at worst slanderous as it relates to my late husband and me.

Consequently, please withdraw your disparaging observation, published as a result of your being drawn into a vortex of now-recorded and in part —malice, hatred and misleading / unproven information.

Currently, there is live defamation action against Louise and her husband. There is more pending against Louise, acting alone. Separately, there is pending action against her husband acting alone.

Your participation in vilifying, malicious and publications involving hatred, is offensive, further damaging and leaves you open to action.

Mistakenly, I reasoned prudence would prompt you to not believe all you read. There are two sides to every issue.

Currently, and regrettably, I am in the process of recording the 'omitted' facts. My grandchildren will eventually receive and know the truth, by the facts and evidence.

This message will serve as a record of my polite and reasonable request for retraction.

Meanwhile, I am guided by Napoleon's theory of never interfering with the enemy when they are destroying themselves.

Geraldine Allan